EARLY SEPSIS WARNINGS AND THE USE OF RAPID RESPONSE TEAMS

Brandy McKelvy, MD, FCCP Associate Professor McGovern Medical School at UT-Houston Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care & Sleep Medicine

McGovern Medical School

The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston

OBJECTIVES

Describe tools available to assess hospitalized patients at risk for deterioration

Discuss outcomes associated with the use of rapid response teams (RRT) to recognize and respond to sepsis

SEPSIS

Sepsis is an life threatening, dysregulated, exaggerated physiologic response to infection which leads to organ dysfunction

- >750,000 patients suffer with sepsis each year in the United States¹
- \sim 200,000 patients die annually from sepsis in the US¹
- 383,000 receive ICU care²
- 130,000 are on mechanical ventilation²

Inpatient mortality varies 20-40% depending on serenity of illness and comorbidities

Sepsis leads to poor outcomes when treatment is delayed or inadequate

SEPSIS

Estimated cost of care per case 22,000 with total costs estimated >16.7 billion annually¹

Most expensive condition billed to Medicare in 2011, \$20 billion²

RAPID RESPONSE TEAMS (RRT)

Specialized teams are called to review hospitalized patients who are demonstrating signs of clinical instability

Can be trained to assess for sepsis as the cause of instability and intervene with protocols and physician assistance

SEPSIS CALLS & RRTS

Sepsis and SIRS make up between 20-75% of in patient calls depending on study methods and criteria

Use of RRT could theoretically improve sepsis outcomes by early detection of patients who are deteriorating and administrating timely antibiotics

Several studies show many of the patients RRT respond to are already on antibiotics, but antibiotics are modified or changed to appropriate antibiotics 30%-50% of the time

RRT can be used initiate sepsis protocols and to administer volume resuscitation

USE OF EARLY WARNS

Patients have increased mortality with sepsis:

- If they have Lactic acid >4 mmol/L and admitted to floor bed or IMU bed
- Delay in interventions: cultures, antibiotics, fluid boluses

Early identification with early antibiotic administration is one of the best metrics to lower mortality

Sepsis Management: (things we can ask RRT to do)

- Early detection
- Prompt recognition
- Acquisition of cultures
- Source control
- Early administration of appropriate antibiotics
- Timely resuscitation of organ dysfunction



hypotension and associated survival. The x-axis represents time (hrs) following first documentation of septic shock-associated hypotension. *Black bars* represent the fraction of patients surviving to hospital discharge for effective therapy initiated within the given time interval. The gray bars represent the cumulative fraction of patients having received effective antimicrobials at any given time point.

Original Research Critical Care



ED Door-to-Antibiotic Time and Long-term Mortality in Sepsis



Ithan D. Peltan, MD; Samuel M. Brown, MD; Joseph R. Bledsoe, MD; Jeffrey Sorensen, MStat; Matthew H. Samore, MD; Todd L. Allen, MD; and Catherine L. Hough, MD

ER STUDY

Retrospective cohort study

Non-trauma adult ED patient with clinical sepsis

4 hospitals in Utah from 2013-2017

10,811 eligible patients

Measured time to antibiotic administration

Median time to antibiotic therapy 166 mins with 1 year mortality 19%

Each 1 hour increase in time to antibiotics associated with 10% increased adjusted odds of death at 1 year

1.1% per hour increase in risk-adjusted absolute mortality

Journal of Critical Care 40 (2017) 296-302





Faheem W. Guirgis, MD^{a,*}, Lisa Jones, MD^b, Rhemar Esma, MD^c, Alice Weiss, RN^c, Kaitlin McCurdy, MD^d, Jason Ferreira, PharmD^e, Christina Cannon, MD^a, Laura McLauchlin, DNP^f, Carmen Smotherman, MS^g, Dale F. Kraemer, PhD^g, Cynthia Gerdik, DNP, MBA^h, Kendall Webb, MD^a, Jin Ra, MDⁱ, Frederick A. Moore, MD^j, Kelly Gray-Eurom, MD, MMM^a

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

Implemented a hospital-wide program which included an electronic health record (HER) sepsis recognition tool, education, standardized management bundles and designated team responders including RRT for inpatients

Retrospective review of all patients treated at UF Health Jacksonville for sepsis from Oct 1, 2013 to Nov 10, 2015

12 months "before": and 12 months "after" phase

Patients with >2 SIRS alerts and a documented source of infection, AMS, SBP <90 or lactate > 3 mmol/L

SEPSIS ALERTS

RRT consisted of a critical care RN available 24/7, on-call ICU physician, critical care pharmacist, respiratory therapist

Interventions: IV access, administering fluids & antibiotics and facilitated transfer to higher level of care

EHR had an automatic sepsis screening with adjusted MEWS-SRS

Positive predictive value >50%

Screening q 1h

Score >5 sent page to RRT for ward patients

Sepsis: alert order set (3 hour Sepsis bundle)

- POC lactic acid
- Two sets of blood cultures
- Antibiotics recommendations
- 30 cc/kg fluid bolus

| Adju | Adjusted modified early warning signs - sepsis recognition score | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|------|--|
| Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Т | <32 | <35 | <36 | 36-38.4 | 38.5-38.9 | 39-40.9 | ≥41 | |
| HR | <40 | 40-44 | 45-50 | 51-100 | 101-110 | 111–129 | >130 | |
| RR | ≤7 | 8 | 9 | 10-14 | 15-20 | 21-29 | ≥30 | |
| SBP | ≤70 | 71-80 | 81-100 | ≥101 | | | | |
| Latest WBC | <1 | 1-2.9 | | 3–12.9 | 13–17.9 | 18-37.9 | 238 | |

RESULTS

| Multivariable analysis | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| <u>Outcome</u> | <u>Before</u> | <u>After</u> | <u>Odds ratio</u> | <u>P value</u> | <u>Confidence interval</u> |
| Inpatient Mortality | | | 0.62 | 0.046 | 95% (0.39, 0.99) |
| ICU LOS | 2.13 | 1.95 | | <0.001 | 95% (1.97, 2.34) (1.75, 2.06) |
| Hospital LOS | 11.74 | 9.92 | | <0.0001 | 95% (10.9, 12.7) (9.3, 10.6) |
| Mechanical ventilation | | | 0.70 | 0.007 | 95% (0.54, 0.91) |
| Vasopressor Use | | | 0.89 | 0.181 | 95% (0.75, 1.06) |

St. John Sepsis Agent Flowsheet

| Navigator | St. John Sepsis Agent | 312412013 91 | 0 3/24/2013 5: |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Carly Warning Alasta | Early Warning Alerts | | |
| C and the set of the set of | Recommended-Action | Sepsis * | SIR5* |
| SIRS Criteria | SIRS Criteria | | |
| Orman Duals metion Orbania | Temperature Asilary | 97.9 | |
| | GLUCOSE LEVEL | 118 * H | |
| | LIPESCAN GLUC | | 141 * H |
| | POC A Glu | 123 H | |
| | Heart Rate | | 97 |
| | Apical heart Rate | 90(4) | 00(4) |
| | WDC | 8.9 | |
| | BANDS | 2.0 | |
| | Respiratory Rate | 40 H [4][H] | 19 (SIDH) |
| | RESP RATE, ACTUAL | 29 | |
| | Temperature Tympanic | | 96.2 L |
| | Organ Dysfunction Criteria | | |
| | BILIRUBIN TOTAL | 2.1 H | |
| | CREATININE | 4.8H | |
| | LACTIC ACID | 2.0 | |
| | POCALA | 1.0 | |
| | Mean Arterial Pressure | 66 [4] | 66 [4] |
| | Svatolic Blood Pressure | 91 (41).1 | 93 [4][L] |

To review the triggering criteria for the alerts, simply go to the St. John Sepsis Agent Flowsheet. The St. John's Sepsis Agent Flowsheet can be accessed by using the dropdown menu in the Quick View section of the EMR.

Key Points for Successful Documentation

- Document Vital Signs in "Real-Time"
- Dock glucometers to upload results after every patient or every 15 minutes when testing multiple patients.
- Send specimens to lab as soon as they are drawn.
- Make sure that the time the specimen is drawn, matches the time printed on the lab requisition.
- Be sure to properly position tympanic thermometers into the ear canal. If inserted improperly, a false-low temperature may result.

Failure to comply with the principles stated above could lead to false-positive Sepsis Alerts or Sepsis Alerts failing to fire. Remember that you could be a "Lifesaver".



Introducing... St. John Sepsis Agent



Memorial Hermann Hospital System is implementing the St. John Sepsis Agent that constantly monitors the electronic medical record (EMR) and scans for data that indicate possible signs of SIRS or Sepsis through "Cloud" technology. The goal of the alerts is to detect signs of SIRS or Sepsis and initiate rapid resuscitation within 1 hour.



Sobering Statistics About Sepsis

- Patients hospitalized for septicemia or sepsis were more than eight times as likely to die during their hospitalization.
- Hospitalization rates for septicemia or sepsis more than doubled from 2000 through 2008.
- Those hospitalized for septicemia or sepsis had an average length of stay that was 75% longer than those hospitalized for other conditions.



WHAT IS "CLOUD" TECHNOLOGY?

Sepsis Cloud

Data is extracted in "real-time" by the Sepsis Crawler. The Sepsis Agent then analyzes the data for potential triggers of Sepsis.

Serner Cerner



When the Sepsis Agent finds a risk for Sepsis, communication alerts are sent to clinicians.





"Cloud Computing" is where apps or data are accessible on the Internet instead of on a single computer or network. Computers, tablets, and smart phones use this technology to run various features within their apps. When you receive a "Weather Alert" or a "News Alert" or pay bills on-line, "Cloud Technology" is most likely being used.

St. John Sepsis Cloud works like this:

Clinical data is entered into the EMR (Care4) by the lab, bedside nurse, and PCA. The data is then extracted by the Sepsis Crawler and transmitted through the internet to Kansas City. Once in Kansas City, the data is analyzed by the *St. John Sepsis Agent*. If the *Sepsis Agent* identifies possible signs of SIRS or Severe Sepsis, "*Communication Alerts*" are immediately sent back to Houston to the patient's EMR in Care4. This entire process takes about 5 minutes round trip.





MHH-TMC

Cerner St Johns' sepsis tool launched in July 2013

Uses lab data and vital sign measurement for 30 hours



NON-RAPID TRACKING TOOL

Form used to track non-Rapid response calls for Rapid Response Nurse

- Sepsis Calls
- PIVs
- Small bore feeding tube placement
- Lab draws
- Unit support
- Patient transport
- ER support

Tracks total time spent on calls

| med on: 06/30/2017 | 1457 CDT | | | By: |
|--|---|---|---|-----|
| Rapid Respo is Info | Non-Rapid Re | sponse Nurse Tracking Tool | | |
| Location | Date/Time Initiated | Date/Time Completed | Total Time | |
| Tasks/Interven | tions | Nurse Responding | Children's Unit Support | |
| PIV Start Patient Transport Code Blue Transfers to High Moderate sedatic | s er Level of Care in Opens Sepsis Tab 2 | SWAT Pedi Transport Rapid Response Charge Nurse Nurse Supervisor Other: | Chart Review Patient Assessment Respiratory distress Abnormal EKG Increasing Acuity Fluid Resuscitation | |
| Sepsis Protocol Guession Education ER Assistance Audit Cobarts or C | The nursing interventions) | | Help with admission Pediatric sepsis | |
| Esering type inc | action | | | |

| | Sep | sis Information | | | 5 | |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| SIRS Criteria ☐ Temp < 36 degrees(96.8 F) ☐ Temp > 38.3 degrees(101.9 F) ☐ HR > 95 bpm ☐ RB > 22 bpm | Name of Physic | ian Contacted | Patient Assessment for Sep Suspect new infection Vital signs reported in error Worsening known infection Other: | sis | | |
| Glucose < 50 mg/dl Glucose >180 mg/dl WBC < 4 K/cmm WBC > 12 K/cmm Bands > 10% of WBC's Other: | Sepsis Risk De | termination Low | Comorbidity Disease States Liver disease End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) Cancer Sickle Cell | Post OP/OR Labor & Delivery Other: | | |
| Subscription SBP < 90 mmHg or SBP 40 mmhg MAP < 65 mmHg MAP < 65 mmHg Sp02<90% or increasing 02 needs to m pH<7.3 | epsis Risk I eline without treatment nain sats<90% | High vs Low Interventions/Outcome Blood cultures Urine cultures Bespiratory of three | 25 | | | |
| Lactic Acid > 2.0 mmol/L Urine Output < than equal to 0.5 mL/Kg Creatinine> 2 or 50% increase from knor Platelets< 100,000/mm3 INR>1.5 PTT> 60sec Total Bilirubin (> or equal to 2 mg/dl and Change in mental status from baseline | r/Hr for 2 hours wn baseline d < or equal to 10 mg/dl) | Other cultures No new order CBC w/diff Lactic acid Radiology orders New antibiotic orders Transfer to Higher level o Arterial blood gas | f care | | | |
| FIT>6Usec Total Bilirubin (> or equal to 2 mg/dl and Change in mental status from baseline | i≺ or equal to 10 mg/dl) | New antibiotic orders Transfer to Higher level o Arterial blood gas | f care | | | |

| | Sepsis Information | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| SIRS Criteria Image: 100 cmp < 36 degree: (36.8 F) Temp > 38.3 degree: (101.3 F) HR > 95 bpm Glacose < 50 mg/dl Glacose > 180 mg/dl WBC < 4 K/cmm WBC > 12 K/cmm Bands > 10% of WBC's Other: | Name of Physician Contacted Sepsis Risk Determination High Sepsis Risk Determination Low Low Low | Patient Assessment for Sepsis Suspect new infection Vital signs reported in error Vorsening known infection Other: Dither: Liver disease End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) Cancer Sickle Cell Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) Image: | P/OR Delivery | High vs Low determination is based on clinical judgment by the R responding to the severe sepsis aler Based on patient presentation |
| SBP < 90 mmHg or SBP 40 mmhg basel MAP < 65 mmHg | ine without treatment. ain sats<90% Utine cultures Utine cultures Respiratory culture Other cultures No new order Other cultures No new order CBC w/dff Lactic acid Radiology orders New antibiotic orders Arterial blood gas | An the Sta oft | nswering e Comor ates who en mimio | Low Risk will open bidity Disease ose symptoms c sepsis. |

| | Sepsis Informa | tion | 5 |
|---|---|--|---|
| IRS Criteria Temp < 38.3 degrees(96.8 F) Temp > 38.3 degrees(101.9 F) HR > 95 bpm Bill > 22 bpm Glucose < 50 mg/dl Glucose > 180 mg/dl WBC < 4 K/cmm WBC > 12 K/cmm Bands > 10% of WBC's Other: | Name of Physician Contacted Sepsis Risk Determination Hi High Sepsis Risk Determination Lo | | Sepsis Sepsis Post OP/OR D Labor & Delivery Other: |
| Drgan Dysfunction SBP < 90 mmHg or SBP 40 mmhg/base MAP < 65 mmHg Sp02(90% or increasing 02 needs to m pH<7.3 Lactic Acid > 2.0 mmol/L Urine Output < than equal to 0.5 mL/Kg. Creatinine> 2 or 50% increase from know Platelets< 100,000/mm3 INB1 5 | Interventions, ine without treatment ain sats<90% Unine culture Respiratory of Other culture No new orde m baseline CBC w/diff Lactic acid Readiology on New antibioti | / Outcomes s s uture s r ders ic orders | High Risk Determination will open Tab 3 Complete the Patient Assessment for Sepsis |

| formed on: | 06/19/2017 🔹 🔹 1347 👘 CDT | | By: Bernstein, Mic |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| n-Rapid Respo psis Info | Sepsis Core | Measures Checklist | |
| psis Core Meas | Patient seen in 0-30 Minutes | | |
| | Date/Time Initiated | | ~ |
| | m/m/mm A V A | Name of Physician Contacted | |
| | Yes No Blood Cultures Ordered | | RN to follow up on Sepsis |
| | Lactate Ordered Antibiotics Ordered | RN Responding | orders |
| | NS or LB fluid bolus ordered @30ml/kg | | Ulders |
| | | | Verify that orders were |
| | Patient seen in 60-90 minutes | | completed in a timely |
| | Date/Time Initiated | | completed in a timely |
| | 11/11/1000 A | Name of Physician Contacted | manner |
| | Yes No | | • If answer no in the 0-30 |
| | Blood Cultures Sent to Lab Lactate Sent to Lab | | |
| | If result available lactate>2.0 Has 30ml/kg of NS or LR been in lated | RN Responding | minutes box, Name of |
| | | | provider contacted is |
| | | | |
| | Patient Follow up 180-300 minutes | | mandatory |
| | | | • If answer ves in the follow |
| | Date/Time Initiated | Name of Physician Contacted | up haven the name of PN |
| | | | up boxes, the name of RN |
| | Lactate>2.0 has Lactate been repeated | No | responding is mandatory |
| | Has 30ml/kg NS or LR been completed Provider notified of fluids administered | RN Responding | |
| | Provider documented Sepsis Re-assessment | | |
| | | | |

Total Rapid Response Calls



Tasks/Interventions from Rapid Response Calls

Distinct Tasks Interventions August 2018 through July 2019



Monthly Totals of Total Sepsis Rapid Response Calls



Monthly Totals of Sepsis=Yes & Sepsis=No



SIRS Criteria which triggered Severe Sepsis Alert



Organ Dysfunction





Interventions/Outcomes of Sepsis = Yes

Intervention Outcomes Total August 2019 - July 2018



MS-DRG: 870 Septicemia or severe sepsis w mv >96 hours or peripheral extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ecmo),] 871 Septicemia or severe sepsis w/o MV >96 hours w MCC



TAKE HOME POINTS

Sepsis mortality decreases with early recognition and treatment

Sepsis screening with early aggressive care is vital to increasing the chance of survival

Use of an automated early warning system can increase the identification of septic patients but can also lead increased false positives

Use of a RRT team to clinically assess patients at the bedside can help implement therapies such as drawing blood cultures and administering antibiotics & fluids

Ideally, these interventions will improve sepsis mortality and decrease the need for transfer to higher level of care

LEARNING ASSESSMENT QUESTIONS

The number of patients who die of Sepsis in the United States is:

- **A.** ~200
- **B.** ∼2000
- **C.** ∼20,000
- **D.** ~200,000
- E. ∼2,000,000

Correct answer is $D \sim 200,000$ die in the US from sepsis every year

Using an early warning system to identify sepsis can decrease mortality

True

False

The answer is True. Every hospital should utilize a system to identify sepsis earlier and have a team in place to intervene